

From: Sweeney, Ken

Sent: Friday, January 13, 2012 1:07 PM

To: Charette, Russ

000364

Subject: RE: I-395/Route 9 Study

Yes...as follows:

Does the purpose statement need to reference AASHTO POLICY? If it must then it should say GUIDE not policy.

Add a sentence or two about Freight connectivity and the recent Congressional action to allow 100k trucks on the interstate system and the critical need to provide a safe connection to the interstate system for those trucks on route 9 from Canada and regionally from Washington County and EastPort Port needing to travel to points south and west.

Fill in the range of cost alternatives...Low should be no greater than \$65 M .you decide High.

000365

Anticipated Construction could begin in 2014-2015

We also discussed wording and had a meeting with the biologists that led to a comment that we should only commit to the 1.2 bankful on the structures that make environmental sense and not a blanket 1.2 statement. We should also avoid the "will be considered in final design" when it involves environmental commitment because the regulators interpret the language consider the same as require.

That's all I recall

Thanks

ken